

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,927	12/03/2003	Matthew L. Heston	CIS0107D1US	4687
33031	7590 07/24/2006		EXAMINER ·	
CAMPBELL STEPHENSON ASCOLESE, LLP			TRINH, MINH N	
	07 SPICEWOOD SPRINGS RD. .DG. 4, SUITE 201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, T	X 78759	•	3729	
			DATE MAILED: 07/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/726,927	HESTON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Minh Trinh	3729				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	lay 200 <u>6</u> .					
·_ ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	, '					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 28-40 and 46-48 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 28-40, 46-48 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_	·				
1)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/726,927 Page 2

Art Unit: 3729

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants amendment filed on 5/12/06 has been fully consideration and made of record.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 28-40 and 46-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear as to what being referring as "first connector and second connector" (see claims 28, lines 2 and 3). Since, the specification only discloses the "optics connector" and "optics circuitry". Please clarify.

- 4. Claims 28-35 as best under stood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by August et al (US 4,911,645). This rejection is set forth in prior Office Action, paragraph 7, dated 2/9/06.
- 5. Claims 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over August et al (US 4,911,645). This rejection is set forth in prior Office Action, paragraph 9, dated 2/9/06.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,927 Page 3

Art Unit: 3729

6. Claims 36 and 46-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over August et al (US 4,911,645) in view of Imamura (5,219,292). This rejection is set forth in prior Office Action, paragraph 10, dated 2/9/06.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/14/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Re. 112. the amendment to the claims still raises issues of 112 (see above).

Re. Prior art. Applicants arguments that the prior art fails to teach the subject matter as recited in their claims such as a first circuit board having a first electrical contact and connector; a second circuit board having a second electrical contact and connector (see under their "Remarks", page 7-8). The Examiner disagrees, in that applicants are referred to August reference, especially, Fig. 1 which shows a connection system having the above configuration requirements such as where a first circuit board having a first electrical contact 101 and connector 102; a second circuit board 105 having a second electrical contact 101 and connector 108. Therefore, the broadly claimed features as described above are met by August reference, and the prior art rejection is valid.

For all reasons above, Applicants' arguments are not persuasive and are not clearly point out the patentable novelty which they thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the rejections made.

Application/Control Number: 10/726,927 Page 4

Art Unit: 3729

Interviews After Final

7. Applicants note that an interview after a final rejection will not be granted unless the intended purpose and content of the interview in presented briefly, in writing (the agenda of the interview must be in writing). Such an interview may be granted if the examiner is convinced that disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration. Interviews merely to restate arguments of record or to discuss new limitations which would require more than nominal reconsideration or new search will be denied. See MPEP 714.13 and 713.09.

Conclusion

- 8. It is noted that any amendment made to the disclosure and the claims. Applicant requires to point out the support provide numeral references to the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure (i.e., page and line numbers and reference number associated with from the drawings) for better clarity (See 37CFR 1.111 and section 2163.06 of the MPEP).
- 9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/726,927

Art Unit: 3729

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 5

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Minh Trinh whose telephone number is (571) 272-4569.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on (571) 272-4690. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mt

7/13/06

MINHTRINH

PRIMARY EXAMINER